
2015

Educational Assessment Is an Enduring Theme of *Numeracy*

H. L. Vacher

University of South Florida, vacher@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/numeracy>



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Vacher, H. L.. "Educational Assessment Is an Enduring Theme of *Numeracy*." *Numeracy* 8, Iss. 1 (2015): Article 1. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.1>

Authors retain copyright of their material under a [Creative Commons Non-Commercial Attribution 4.0 License](#).

Educational Assessment Is an Enduring Theme of *Numeracy*

Abstract

The Assessment Theme Collection in this issue brings the count of papers on QL assessment to 22 out of the 136 papers (16.2%) in the journal's first 15 issues. After the first ten issues (our first five years), the counts were 13 and 85 respectively (15.1%). A table in this editorial updates the list of our papers on the subject.

Keywords

assessment, quantitative literacy

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Cover Page Footnote

Len Vacher is a geology professor in the School of Geosciences at the University of South Florida and is co-editor of this journal.

This issue includes an Assessment Theme Collection containing five papers on QL-educational assessment. This collection comes to us largely thanks to Associate Editor Donna Sundre who solicited the articles and managed them through the rigorous process of peer-review and revision. Two more papers are in the final stages of completion, and we look forward to including them in the July issue of *Numeracy*.

Educational assessment has been an enduring theme in *Numeracy*. The editorial “Scope of *Numeracy* after Five Years” (v. 6, issue 1, Jan 2013) identified educational assessment as one of five subject areas that clearly emerged as themes in the first ten issues of the journal. Overall, there were 85 papers (editorials, articles, perspectives, book reviews, and columns) in those issues, and 13 (15.3%) of them were identified in the editorial as educational-assessment papers. The other popular subjects were QL and writing (12 papers), the QL construct (20), focused QL courses and curricula (12), and QL across the curriculum (12).

Since then we have published three more educational-assessment papers amongst the 37 papers of 2013 and 2014, and with this issue we are publishing six more (counting this editorial) amongst 14 papers. Thus with this 15th issue, *Numeracy* has published 136 papers, and 22 (16.2%) of them are on educational assessment. They are listed in the appendix.

At the end of 2014, the count of downloads for *Numeracy* papers was 87,681. Of these, 13,794 (15.7%) were for the 16 educational-assessment articles.

Appendix

Educational assessment

Vacher and Chavez	2009	Quantitative literacy on the Web of Science, 2 – Mining the health numeracy literature for assessment items	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.2.1.5
Taylor	2009	Assessing quantitative reasoning (Editorial)	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.2.2.1
Wallace et al.	2009	Quantitative literacy assessments: An introduction to testing tests	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.2.2.3
Grawe et al.	2010	A rubric for assessing quantitative reasoning in written arguments	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.3.1.3
Sundre and Thelk	2010	Advancing Assessment of Quantitative and Scientific Reasoning	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.3.2.2
Steele and Kilic-Bahi	2010	Quantitative literacy: Does it work? Evaluation of student outcomes at Colby-Sawyer College	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.3.2.3
Wetzel	2011	Spreadsheets Across the Curriculum, 2: Assessing our success with students at Eckerd College	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.1.4

Kosko and Wilkins	2011	Communicating quantitative literacy: An examination of open-ended assessment items in TIMSS, NALS, IALS, and PISA	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.2.3
Ward et al.	2011	Development of an assessment of quantitative literacy for Miami University	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.2.4
Sikorskii et al.	2011	Quantitative literacy at Michigan State University, 1: Development and initial evaluation of the assessment	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.2.5
Hassad	2011	Constructivist and behaviorist approaches: Development and initial evaluation of a teaching practice scale for introductory statistics at the college level	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.2.7
Boersma et al.	2011	Quantitative Reasoning in the Contemporary World, 3: Assessing student learning	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.4.2.8
Lehto and Vacher	2012	Spreadsheets Across the Curriculum, 4: Evidence of student learning and attitudes about spreadsheets in a physical geology course	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.5.2.5
Boersma and Klyve	2013	Measuring habits of mind: Toward a prompt-less instrument for assessing quantitative literacy	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.6.1.6
Grawe and Grawe	2014	Reflections on the introduction of quantitative assessment in persuasive writing classes	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.7.1.5
Gaze et al.	2014	Towards developing a Quantitative Literacy/Reasoning Assessment instrument	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.7.2.4
Vacher	2015	Educational assessment is an enduring theme of <i>Numeracy</i> (Editorial)	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.1
Hathcoat et al.	2015	Assessing college students' quantitative and scientific reasoning: The James Madison University story	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.2
Gittens	2015	Assessing numeracy in the upper elementary and middle school years	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.3
Gillmor et al.	2015	Effects of reducing the cognitive load of mathematics test items on student performance	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.4
Dumford and Rocconi	2015	Development of the quantitative reasoning items on the National Survey of Student Engagement	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.5
Wright and Howard	2015	Assessment of improvement: Two models for assessing a large quantitative reasoning requirement	http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.8.1.6